

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/549,991	<b>Applicant(s)</b> UNLU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> NEIL TURK	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Neil Turk.

(2) Charles Gagnebin.

**Date of Interview:** 14 December 2009

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**  
Rejection(s) discussed:  
n/a

Claims discussed:  
1, 23, 24, 44, and 45

Prior art documents discussed:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 11:00 am

**Part II.**  
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  

/Jill Warden/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed possible amendments to the claims that would place the claims in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed to such amendments and Examiner agreed to have the amended claims entered by way of an Examiner's Amendment..